


Research Article

Using the lateral thoracic pedicle with nipple-areola complex for Gestational Gigantomastia reduction: A case report

Trần Thiết Sơn^{1,2,3}, Tạ Thị Hồng Thuý^{1,3*}, Phan Tuấn Nghĩa^{1,3}, Phạm Thị Việt Dung^{1,3}

Abstract

Gigantomastia, or breast hypertrophy, is a condition that involves developing extremely large breasts due to excessive growth of breast tissue. Breast reduction surgery is an option that can help patients regain normal breasts. Several surgeons have presented a variety of techniques, but the axial flap bearing the nipple areolar complex (NAC) remains controversial. We present a particular case of severe gigantomastia with a distance from the sternal notch to the NAC of approximately 48 cm. The surgical method of breast reduction uses a dermoglandular axial pedicle of the lateral thoracic vessels bearing the NAC. As a result, the patient was satisfied, the breast contour was similar to normal breasts, and there were no complications of the NAC. With this case, we wish to restate the safety and reliability of the pedicle bearing the NAC in breast reduction surgery, even when the pedicle of choice is the lateral thoracic vessels.

Introduction

Gigantomastia is a rare condition characterized by diffuse and excessive breast growth and associated with juvenile, pregnancy-induced, drug-induced, idiopathic, tumors, obese, and autoimmune disease [1,2]. Gestational gigantomastia (GG) is a type of gigantomastia that appears during the first or second trimester of pregnancy [2-4]. Breast growth that is too rapid can cause abnormal discomfort, stretched overlying skin necrosis, severe mastitis and hemorrhage, and negatively impact long term milk production [5]. Reduction mammoplasty may be an appropriate choice for severe gestational gigantomastia and can use a variety of techniques, such as mastectomy combined with the “free nipple graft” technique or pedicled technique [6,7]. Most surgeons prefer “free nipple grafting” as a choice for gigantomastia, due to the simplicity of the technique, but the disadvantages of this technique are nipple hypopigmentation, graft failure, and decreased breast projection. The pedicled technique is suitable for cases with mild to moderately large breasts. However, the pedicled technique remains controversial in patients with severe gigantomastia, resulting in necrosis to the nipple-areola complex [8]. The latero-thoracic artery (LTA) flap is little-known in gigantomastia reduction. In this article, we present the use of the latero-thoracic pedicle flap bearing the nipple-areola complex (NAC) - for breast reduction in patients with gestational gigantomastia.

Case Presentation

A 30-year-old woman with a history of breast enlargement during her pregnancy, presented with complaints of chest and back pain. There was no other personal or family history for breast disease. Her original breast size was a B cup. During the 24 weeks gestation, bilateral breast growth progressed

Affiliation:

¹Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

²Department of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hanoi National University, Vietnam

³Department of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam

*Corresponding author:

Tạ Thị Hồng Thuý, Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam.

Citation: Trần Thiết Sơn, Tạ Thị Hồng Thuý, Phan Tuấn Nghĩa, Phạm Thị Việt Dung. Using the lateral thoracic pedicle with nipple-areola complex for gestational gigantomastia reduction: A case report. *Journal of Surgery and Research*. 6 (2023): 387-390.

Received: October 25, 2023

Accepted: November 06, 2023

Published: November 30, 2023

sutures. The weights of breast tissue excised from the right and left breasts were 3600 g and 4000 g, respectively. The postoperative course was favorable, with no complications. Follow-up of the patient 4 years after surgery showed no recurrence.

Discussion

Gigantomastia is a rare condition characterized by diffuse and excessive breast growth associated with adolescence, pregnancy, drug-induced, idiopathic, tumors, obesity, and autoimmune diseases. Breast enlargement is considered gigantomastia, in which excessive tissue exceeds 2-2.5kg [2]. Gestational gigantomastia (GG) is a type of gigantomastia that occurs at the onset of pregnancy or between the 16th and 20th week of gestation. It is estimated to affect roughly 1 in 100,000 pregnancies. This disease can also cause difficulty with movement and breathing as well as emotional, social, and psychological problems [7]. Medical management and surgery are two options, and reduction mammoplasty is considered the gold standard for GG [3]. In breast reduction, depending on the severity of excess tissue, various techniques may be preferred to reduce massive breast size with preservation of NAC and breastfeeding. Procedures with dermoglandular pedicle techniques described for reduction mammoplasty have now been successfully applied for medium and large hypertrophic breasts [8]. However, these techniques cannot preserve the NAC in gigantomastia patients. Breast reduction surgery in patients with gigantomastia proves to be a challenge for plastic surgeons. The high complication rate of NAC necrosis observed in GG reduction is due to lack of blood supply to the NAC. Therefore, most surgeons prefer a free nipple graft reduction mammoplasty for GG. Although this technique remains simple and reliable, it has several disadvantages, such as hyposensitivity, loss of lactation, flat projection, and unmatched pigmentation of the NAC [9-11].

Four arterial sources are mainly responsible for supplying blood to the NAC. These are branches originating from the lateral thoracic artery, internal mammary artery, anterior branches of the intercostal arteries, and branches from the highest thoracic arteries. The first and second sources mentioned above are the dominant arterial supply to this NAC region [12,13]. The LTA arises from the axillary artery and terminates in 2 or 3 branches near the lateral margin of the areola. The LTA was located between the subcutaneous fat tissue and the mammary gland in this course. The vein, a single vena comitans, closely accompanied the artery [14]. In 1978, Harii et al. first used the free LTA flap for defects in the head, neck, and hand [15]. In fact, the LTA flap has been used in local regional reconstruction (axilla, pectoral region, and arm) as an island or propeller flap or as free flap for various defects of the extremities and of the head and neck regions [14,16]. In 2022, Sharp et al. reported a patient in which a free LTA flap from the hypertrophic breast was

used for contralateral breast reconstruction [17]. In 2018, Elmelegy et al. introduced a breast reduction technique in 50 patients with hypertrophic breasts. Based on preoperative identification of perforating vessels, whether originating from the internal mammary artery or LTA using a hand-held Doppler, the authors designed a parenchymal medial-lateral bipedicle bearing NAC following reduction mammoplasty that resulted in a meager rate of postoperative ischemia and necrosis of the NAC [18]. Basaran et al. described a breast reduction technique in which the major supply vessels of the NAC were determined by color Doppler ultrasonography, and the pedicles bearing NAC containing these vessels were designed for breast reduction [19].

In our patient, we used a handheld Doppler to locate and estimate the adequate caliber of LTA and internal mammary artery (IMA) branches. As a result, the LTA predominates the IMA branches and eventually reaches the outer circumference of the nipple-areola complex. Therefore, we chose these vessels as the primary blood supply for the NAC. The customized pedicles were made according to the vessel locations with a superior or superolateral-based design. In GG, the hypertrophic breast increases the LTA in both diameter and length to ensure adequate blood supply to the NAC. Using ultrasound, the diameter of the LTA branches is measured to be three times normal. The size of itself also increases with the development of the breast. However, the distribution of the perforator is different in each breast of the same person. Depending on the direction of the LTA vessels and the design of the axial flap that provides vascular supply to the NAC, we can easily create the proper projection of the breast. In our experience, the first thing is to identify which vessels supply for NAC and then decide which ones are the dominant. Each of them will have an impact on the flap design and the pedicle length to carry the NAC without necrosis. We found that resections as extensive as 4000g were well tolerated with nipple viability by customized pedicle reduction. Wound healing issues along the T-junction and reoccurrence have not been observed in this patient. The advantages of using the LTA flap are to create a large tissue flap when the breast is excessively hypertrophic; a long vascular pedicle with arterial external diameter. Possibility of sensory neurovascular flap; a part of the breast tissue can be maintained to ensure breastfeeding; ease of creating breast projection even when a large portion of the breast is removed. This technique can only be applied when LTA branches dominate over the IMS branches.

Conclusion

The customized pedicle constructed with preoperative perforator identification with a Doppler is an alternative technique for gestational gigantomastia reduction that preserves the NAC and breast contour.

References

1. Dancy A. Gigantomastia- A classification and review of the literature. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 61 (2008): 493-502.
2. Gerall CD and Jatoi I. Gestational gigantomastia. *Surgery* 165 (2019): 485.
3. Alhindi N. A systematic literature review of the clinical presentation, management, and outcome of gestational gigantomastia in the 21st century. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 47 (2023): 10-29.
4. Fletcher M.B. Gestational gigantomastia: A case report and brief review of the literature. *JAAD Case Rep* 6 (2020): 1159-1161.
5. Rezai S. Gestational Gigantomastia Complicating Pregnancy: A case report and review of the literature. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol* 15 (2015): 892369.
6. Lapid O. Breast reconstruction after mastectomy for gestational gigantomastia. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 37 (2013): 388-391.
7. Qin F. Management of gestational gigantomastia with breast reconstruction after mastectomy: case report and literature review. *J Int Med Res* 48 (2020): 300060520920463.
8. Wamalwa AO. Surgical anatomy of reduction mammoplasty: a historical perspective and current concepts. *S Afr J Surg* 55 (2017): 22-28.
9. Rooi A. Severe gestational gigantomastia: management challenges. *S Afr J Surg* 59 (2021): 195a-195c.
10. Turkan H. Gestational Gigantomastia. *J Breast Health* 12 (2016): 86-87.
11. Shoma A. Gestational gigantomastia: A review article and case presentation of a new surgical management option. *Surg Innov* 18 (2011): 94-101.
12. Palmer JH and Taylor GI. The vascular territories of the anterior chest wall. *Br J Plast Surg* 39 (1986): 287-299.
13. O'Dey DM, Prescher A, Pallua N. Vascular reliability of nipple-areola complex-bearing pedicles: an anatomical microdissection study. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 119 (2007): 1167-1177.
14. Le-Quang C. Two new free flaps developed from aesthetic surgery I. The lateral mammary flap. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 4 (1980): 147-157.
15. Harii K, Torii S, and Sekiguchi J. The free lateral thoracic flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 62 (1978): 212-222.
16. Kim JT. Lateral thoracic perforator flap: additional perforator flap option from the lateral thoracic region. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 64 (2011): 1596-1602.
17. Sharp OL. Breast augmentation using a free lateral thoracic artery perforator flap from the contralateral breast: A case report. *Microsurgery* 4 (2022): 176-180.
18. Elmelegy NG. Treatment of gigantomastia using a medial-lateral bipedicle reduction mammoplasty: The role of doppler-assisted preoperative perforator identification. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 42 (2018): 73-79.
19. Basaran K. Ultrasonographically determined pedicled breast reduction in severe gigantomastia. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 128 (2011): 252e-259e.