Serum Beta- 2 Microglobulin is a Reliable Biomarker to Predict Diabetic Nephropathy
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Abstract

Background: Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is the major microvascular complication and leading cause of chronic kidney disease (CKD) globally. Early detection of diabetic nephropathy is necessary.

Objective: To evaluate the serum beta-2 (β2) microglobulin as a biomarker to predict diabetic nephropathy.

Methods: This study was conducted from March 2020 to February 2021 at Department of Laboratory
Medicine, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh. A total of 60 patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus (DM) were enrolled purposively. Among them, 30 patients had diabetic nephropathy (group I) and 30 were without diabetic nephropathy (group II). Their random blood sugar (RBS), serum creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR), serum beta-2 (β2) microglobulin and urinary microalbumin levels were measured. Data were analyzed and compared by statistical tests.

Results: Most of the patients in nephropathy group were male who belonged to 51 - 60 years age group and their mean e-GFR was significantly decreased (p<0.001). The mean serum β2 microglobulin level was significantly higher in patients with diabetic nephropathy (6.72 ± 2.032 µg/ml versus 3.44 ± 1.12 µg/ml, p<0.001). There was significant positive correlation between serum β2 microglobulin with serum creatinine (r=+0.549, p=0.002) and with urinary microalbumin (r=0.755, p<0.001), but a significant negative correlation with e-GFR (r=−0.627, p<0.001) in group I. The best cut-off point of serum β2 microglobulin for diabetic nephropathy was 4.35 µg/ml with 93.3% sensitivity and 80.0% specificity.

Conclusions: Serum beta-2 (β2) microglobulin level is significantly high in diabetic nephropathy. Serum β2 microglobulin may be used as a reliable biomarker to predict diabetic nephropathy.
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1. Introduction
Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a group of metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both [1]. Diabetes mellitus causes long term damage, dysfunction and failure of different organs especially the eyes, kidneys, heart, and blood vessels [1]. Diabetes mellitus is associated with both microvascular and macrovascular complications [1]. Microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus include- diabetic nephropathy (DN), retinopathy, neuropathy, peripheral vascular disease etc. Among them diabetic nephropathy (DN) is the major microvascular complication and the leading cause of chronic kidney disease (CKD) as well as end stage renal disease (ESRD) globally [2-4]. DN is characterized by elevated urine albumin excretion or reduces glomerular filtration rate (GFR) or both in diabetic patients [5]. On the basis of urinary albumin excretion diabetic nephropathy is categorized in to microalbuminuria (urinary albumin excretion rate, 20-200 μg/minutes or (30-300 mg/day) and macroalbuminuria (urinary albumin excretion rate ≥200 μg/minutes) [5]. Measurement of urinary albumin excretion is the gold standard for the diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy [6]. But urinary albumin excretion has some limitation to predict the early stages of nephropathy in diabetic individuals [7]. Moreover, urinary albumin excretion rate could be altered by blood pressure, exercise and intra-individual variability [8]. On the other hand, estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) is more accurate and useful than the serum concentrations of filtration markers [9]. In clinical practice e-GFR is the calculated equation of glomerular filtration rate by using age, sex and body weight of the individual [5].
Changes in glomerular filtration rate provide a valuable indicator for the progression of diabetic nephropathy [4]. For the assessment of glomerular filtration rate, serum creatinine is the most widely used index [8]. Despite its specificity serum creatinine demonstrates an inadequate sensitivity particularly in the early stage of renal impairment [8]. Creatinine is not an inert substance, which is secreted by the proximal tubule and its level is affected by other factors like diet, exercise, hydration etc [8, 9]. Therefore, novel biomarkers are needed to identify the diabetic nephropathy at an early stage in patients who are at risk of developing chronic kidney disease (CKD) [10]. Measurement of various low molecular weight proteins has been proposed as a useful tool to evaluate the impairment of glomerular filtration rate [8-10]. Among them, serum β2 microglobulin has been suggested as a better marker of glomerular filtration rate than serum creatinine [11]. Serum β2 microglobulin is the light chain in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecule [12]. It is widely distributed in all nucleated cells in the body [12, 13]. Under normal physiologic conditions, β2 microglobulin is produced at a constant rate and normal β2 microglobulin concentration is 1.5-3 mg/L [12-14]. Liabeuf et al. found an association between serum β2 microglobulin with different stages of CKD [14]. While, Inker et al. established that serum β2 microglobulin is a novel endogenous filtration marker and developed a glomerular filtration rate estimating equation by using serum β2 microglobulin [9]. Recently it was reported that serum β2 microglobulin is an early predictor of renal function [9, 15]. Serum β2 microglobulin exclusively eliminated by glomerular filtration and has been used to determine the estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) [9, 15, 16]. It was reported that, high level of serum β2 microglobulin has the higher prevalence of diabetic nephropathy compared with low level of β2 microglobulin with normal renal function [16]. Increase of serum β2 microglobulin found to be highly specific for diabetic nephropathy in contrast to other biomarkers; moreover it is not influenced by gender, muscle mass and drugs [8, 16, 17]. In this context, the aim of this study was to evaluate the serum β2 microglobulin as a biomarker to predict diabetic nephropathy.

2. Materials and Methods

This cross sectional study was conducted in the Department of Laboratory Medicine, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh from March 2020 to February 2021. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee, BSMMU, Dhaka, Bangladesh. A total of sixty (60) diabetic patients were selected by purposive sampling technique following selection criteria. According to American Diabetes Association (ADA) [1] adult type 2 diabetic patients (age ≥18 years) of both sexes with or without nephropathy were included in this study. Among them, 30 patients had type 2 diabetes mellitus with nephropathy (group I) and 30 patients were type 2 diabetes mellitus without nephropathy (group II). Patients with hypertension, urinary tract infection, leukemia, multiple myeloma, collagen diseases, viral disease and pregnant subjects were excluded from the study. Informed written consent was obtained from each study subjects prior to enrollment. Then relevant investigations of the study subjects like urine for routine microscopic examination (R/M/E), random blood sugar (RBS), serum creatinine, serum β2 microglobulin and urinary
microalbumin were measured accordingly. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) of each patient was calculated by Cockcoft-Gault (CG) formula [18]. Each patient’s body mass index (BMI) was estimated as weight (in kilograms)/height square (in meters). BMI was categorized according to the World Health Organization (WHO) Asia-Pacific guidelines [19].

2.1 Analysis of blood samples
About 6.0 ml venous blood from each study subject was collected into a plane test tube following standard procedure. Tube was labeled with the patient’s identification number and kept in a vertical position at room temperature (22˚C - 24˚C) for 30 minutes. Then blood was centrifuged at 3000 rpm/minutes in room temperature (22˚C - 24˚C) for 15 minutes. Serum was separated by micro-pipette and collected to appendrope, then preserved at −20˚C until further analysis. The random plasma glucose was assessed by automated biochemistry analyzer on the principle of photometric technique, serum creatinine was measured by kinetic method and serum β2 microglobulin was assessed by ELISA method.

2.2 Analysis of urine samples
About 5.0 ml of urine was collected into a plastic tube. Tube was labeled with patient’s identification number. Urine for routine microscopic examination (R/M/E) was assessed by automated analyzer, recheck manually with light microscope. Urinary microalbumin rate was assessed by automated analyzer on the principle of immunoturbidimetric assay.

2.3 Statistical analysis
Data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software version-26. Quantitative data were expressed as mean with standard deviation (±SD), while qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage. The statistics used to analyze the data was descriptive statistics. Chi-square test, unpaired student’s t-test, Pearson’s correlation test and Receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC) were used to analysis the data. A p value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results and Observations
This cross-sectional study was carried out to explore the association of serum β2 microglobulin with diabetic nephropathy. A total of sixty (60) adult diabetic patients were enrolled. Among them, 30 patients were type-2 diabetes mellitus with nephropathy (group I) and 30 patients were type 2 diabetes mellitus without nephropathy (group II). Both groups were selected according to clinical history and laboratory findings.

Table 1 shows the age distribution of the study subjects. It was observed that, age group of 51-60 years had highest percentage of nephropathy patients 9(30.0%) and 31-40 years of age group had highest percentage of without nephropathy patients 13(43.3%).

The mean (±SD) age was 50.5 ± 11.71 years in group I and 45.53 ± 9.97 years in group II. The age difference was not significant between the groups (p=0.082).
Table 1: Age distribution of the study subjects (N=60).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Group I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group II (n=30)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>7 (23.3)</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>8 (26.7)</td>
<td>11 (36.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>9 (30.0)</td>
<td>3 (10.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-70</td>
<td>6 (20.0)</td>
<td>3 (10.0)</td>
<td>0.082ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean±SD
50.5 ± 11.71
45.53 ± 9.97

Range (minimum to maximum)
30 – 70
30 – 70

p value reached from Unpaired t-test; ns=not significant

Group I = Type 2 Diabetes mellitus patients with nephropathy
Group II = Type 2 Diabetes mellitus patients without nephropathy

Table 2: Gender distribution of the study subjects (N=60).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Group I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group II (n=30)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20 (66.7)</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>17 (56.7)</td>
<td>.069ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p value reached from Chi-square test; ns =not significant

Table 2: Gender distribution of the study subjects (N=60).

Data analysis showed that; 1(3.3%) subject in group-I and 5(16.7%) subjects in group-II were within normal weight, 14(46.7%) subjects in group-I and 12(40.0%) subjects in group-II were overweight, While 15(50.0%) subjects in group-I and 13(43.3%) subjects in group-II were obese. The mean (±SD) body mass index (BMI) was 30.1 ± 43.72 kg/m² in group I and 28.9 ± 4.65 kg/m² in group II. The body mass index (BMI) was not significantly different between the groups (p=0.256) (Table 3).
Table 3: Distribution of the study subjects by different body mass index (BMI) categories (N=60).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body Mass Index (BMI*) categories</th>
<th>Group I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group II (n=30)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight (19.0-24.99 kg/m²)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>5 (16.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (25.0-29.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>14 (46.7)</td>
<td>12 (40.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (&gt;30.0 kg/m²)</td>
<td>15 (50.0)</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>30.1 ± 3.72</td>
<td>28.9 ± 4.65</td>
<td>0.256ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (minimum to maximum)</td>
<td>21.5 – 38.8</td>
<td>19.4 – 41.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value reached from Unpaired t-test; ns = not significant, *BMI categories according to the World Health Organization (WHO) Asia-Pacific guidelines [19]

Table 4 shows comparison of different biochemical parameters of the study groups. It was found that mean (±SD) urinary microalbumin and serum creatinine levels were significantly high (p<0.001) but estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) was significantly low (p<0.001) in group I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical parameters</th>
<th>Group I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group II (n=30)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary microalbumin (mg/24-hour)</td>
<td>580.87 ± 478.85</td>
<td>9.83 ± 7.46</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>2.51 ± 1.50</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*e-GFR (ml/min)</td>
<td>47.56 ± 35.48</td>
<td>93.75 ± 31.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value reached from Unpaired t-test, s = significant, *e-GFR was calculated by Cockcroft-Gault (CG) formula [18]

Table 5 shows comparison of serum β2 microglobulin level between two groups. It was observed that mean (±SD) serum β2 microglobulin level was significantly high (p<0.001) among patients with diabetic nephropathy (group I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group II (n=30)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum β2 microglobulin (µg/ml)</td>
<td>6.72 ± 2.03</td>
<td>3.44 ± 1.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value reached from Unpaired t-test, s = significant
Figure 1 shows that there was a significant positive correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with serum creatinine ($r=+0.549$, $p=0.002$) in group I. But in group II, serum β2 microglobulin had weak positive correlation with serum creatinine which was not statistically significant ($r=+0.349$, $p=0.063$).

**Figure 1**: Scatter diagram showing the Pearson’s correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with serum creatinine among the study groups.

**Figure 2**: Scatter diagram showing the Pearson’s correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with urinary microalbumin among the study groups.
Figure 2 shows that there was a significant positive correlation between serum β2 microglobulin and urinary microalbumin (r=0.755, p<0.001) in group I. On the other hand in group II, serum β2 microglobulin had a positive correlation with urinary microalbumin but that was not statistically significant (r=0.528, p=0.078).

Figure 3 shows that there was a significant negative correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) in group I (r=-0.627, p<0.001). While in group II, serum β2 microglobulin had weak negative correlation with e-GFR which was not statistically significant (r=-0.270, p=0.149).

Figure 3: Scatter diagram showing the Pearson’s correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) among the study groups.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed for serum β2 microglobulin to predict diabetic nephropathy. The best cut off point of β2 microglobulin (blue color) was found 4.35 µg/ml [with 93.3% sensitivity (Sn) and 80.0% specificity (Sp)] (Figure- 4).
Figure 4: Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of serum β2 microglobulin for prediction of diabetic nephropathy.

The analysis of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of serum β2 microglobulin to predict diabetic nephropathy is displaying in table VI. It was found that, best cut off point of β2 microglobulin for prediction of diabetic nephropathy was 4.35 µg/ml. That was the highest sensitivity (93.3%) and specificity (80.0%) with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.925 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.861-0.989, (p <0.001)].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cut off value</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β₂ microglobulin</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.861-0.989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: The analysis of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of serum β2 microglobulin to predict diabetic nephropathy.

4. Discussion
Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is a serious long-term major microvascular complication of diabetes mellitus. It was recorded in approximately one third of patients with diabetes [1]. Diabetic nephropathy is the main cause of end stage renal disease (ESRD) and 30-50% of ESRD developed from type 2 diabetes mellitus [2]. Several biomarkers associated with diabetic nephropathy have been the subject of research in last few decades [10]. This study intended to evaluate the association of serum β2 microglobulin in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with diabetes
nephropathy. A total of 60 clinically diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients were enrolled in this study; among them, 30 patients were with nephropathy (group I) and 30 patients were without nephropathy (group II). It was observed that majority [9 (30%)] patients with diabetes nephropathy (group I) were belonged to 51 - 60 years and majority 13(43.3%) patients without diabetes nephropathy (group II) were belonged to 31 - 40 years. The mean (±SD) age of the study subjects was 50.5 ± 11.71 years in group I and 45.53±9.97 years in group II. No significant age difference was observed between two groups (p=0.082). These findings were consistent with a couple of similar previous studies [8, 16, 20].

In this study, it was found that out of total 60 patients, majority 20(66.7%) of the study participants were male in group I, while majority 17(56.7%) of study population were female in group II. In accordance a previous study found that prevalence of diabetic nephropathy was higher among male compared to female [21]. However, a couple of related study observed female predominance in diabetic nephropathy [8, 22]. It may be due to different lifestyle, several environmental factors and ethnic diversity.

In this current study, half of the [15(50.0%)] nephropathy subjects were obese (BMI > 25 kg/m²) in group I and 13(43.3%) subjects were obese (BMI >25 kg/m²) in group II. The mean (±SD) body mass index (BMI) of nephropathy group was comparatively higher than without nephropathy group (30.1 ± 3.72 kg/m² versus 28.9 ± 4.65 kg/m²; p=0.256). In a similar study Kim et al. found the mean body mass index (BMI) of diabetic nephropathy and without diabetic nephropathy respondents were 25.0 ± 3.5 kg/m² and 24.6 ± 3.6 kg/m² respectively (p=0.260) [16]. This result was comparable with a similar previous study [16].

In this study, urinary microalbumin (mg/24h) and serum creatinine levels were significantly elevated in group I than group II (p<0.001). Similar findings were observed in a related previous study and reported that serum creatinine and urinary microalbumin levels were significantly elevated in type 2 diabetes mellitus patient with nephropathy group compared to the control group (p<0.01) [20]. Another study observed significantly increased mean values of serum creatinine and urinary microalbumin level in nephropathy group than control group [8]. Kim et al. found that, patient with diabetic nephropathy group had significantly low estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR) compared to control group [16]. On the other hand Bianchi et al. documented that, decreasing e-GFR with increasing serum β2 microglobulin among patient with diabetic nephropathy [11]. In these contexts, the current study was supported by previous studies [8, 11, 16, 20]. In this current study, the mean serum β2 microglobulin level was significantly high in group I than group II (6.72 ± 2.032 µg/ml versus 3.44 ± 1.12 µg/ml, p<0.001). This finding was an agreement of similar previous studies [8, 16, 17, 20].

In this present study Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) test was performed to assess the correlation of serum beta-2 (β2) macroglobulin with serum creatinine, urinary microalbumin and estimated glomerular filtration rate (e-GFR). A significant positive correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with
serum creatinine was observed in diabetic nephropathy group (r=+0.549, p=0.002). Similar correlation was reported in a couple of previous studies [16, 20, 23]. In this study a strong positive correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with urinary microalbumin was found among patients with diabetic nephropathy (r=+.755, p<0.05). This finding was well accordance with the findings of related previous studies [8, 20]. Serum β2 macroglobulin had shown significant negative correlation with e-GFR in diabetic nephropathy group of this study (r=-0.627, p<0.001), that finding was supported by similar previous studies [8, 24].

The receiver-operating characteristics (ROC) curve of serum β2 microglobulin to predict diabetic nephropathy was depicted in this study. The area under the curve (AUC) for evaluating the diagnostic efficacy of serum β2 microglobulin was found 0.925 (0.861 to 0.989). The best cut-off point of serum β2 microglobulin for diabetic nephropathy was found 4.35 µg/ml. At this cut off point, the sensitivity of serum β2 microglobulin was 93.3% and specificity was 80.0%. This observation was consistent with similar previous studies [22, 24]. However, Kim et al. found that the best cut-off point of serum β2 microglobulin for diabetic nephropathy was 1.81 mg/L with sensitivity 57.3% and specificity 61.7% [16]. This result was not consistent with this current study probably due to sample size variation and they enrolled study participant with normal renal function.

The current study demonstrated a strong and positive association between serum β2 microglobulin and diabetic nephropathy. Several study reported that serum creatinine, e-GFR, urinary albumin excretion rate altered by some independent variables. But serum β2 microglobulin was not affected by any independent variables. It showed significant sensitivity and specificity which might be useful for the physicians as an additional tool for diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy more accurately. Further studies are warranted to confirm the issue.

5. Conclusion
Serum β2 microglobulin level was significantly higher in patients with diabetic nephropathy than without nephropathy. There was a significant positive correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with serum creatinine and urinary microalbumin excretion rate but significant negative correlation of serum β2 microglobulin with e-GFR in patients with diabetic nephropathy. Because of significant sensitivity and specificity, serum β2 microglobulin may be used as a reliable biomarker to predict diabetic nephropathy.

Limitations of the Study
It was a single center study with a relatively small sample size.

Recommendations
Multicenter broad-based prospective cohort study is required to confirm the findings of this present study.
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