

Enhancing Public Health Policy: Insights from Vernon Smith's Behavioral and Experimental Economics, with a Consideration of Trade-offs and an Agent-Based Model Example for Disease Spread and Public Health Interventions

Matteo Maria Cati*

Abstract

This paper explores how Vernon Smith's framework of behavioral and experimental economics can inform public health policy design. By acknowledging limitations of traditional models that assume perfect rationality, Smith's ideas offer valuable tools to address challenges in promoting healthy behaviors. This paper analyzes how Smith's focus on bounded rationality, incentive structures, and institutional design can be used to "nudge" individuals towards healthier choices and ultimately improve population health outcomes. However, the paper also acknowledges the need to balance public health goals with economic considerations.

Keywords: Behavioral Health Economics; Public Health Policy; Experimental Health Economics; Institutional Design; Trade-offs

Introduction

Public health policy faces a constant challenge in balancing the need to promote healthy behaviors with minimizing negative economic and social impacts. Vernon Smith, a Nobel laureate economist, offers valuable insights through his work on behavioral and experimental economics. This paper explores how Smith's framework can be applied to design more effective public health interventions.

Vernon Smith's Contributions

- **Bounded Rationality:** Smith emphasizes that individuals may not always be perfectly rational actors with complete information. This concept is crucial in public health, where individuals might struggle to understand complex health risks or make optimal decisions due to limited cognitive abilities.
- **Experimental Economics:** Smith champions using laboratory experiments to test economic theories. This approach can be applied to public health by designing experiments that simulate real-world scenarios and measure the effectiveness of different policy interventions.
- **Market Design:** Smith's expertise in designing efficient markets translates to designing incentive structures for public health interventions.

Challenges in Public Health Economics

- **Information Asymmetry:** Individuals may lack complete information

Affiliation:

Department of Business Sciences, University of Bologna, 1088, Bologna, Italy

*Corresponding author:

Matteo Maria Cati, Department of Business Sciences, University of Bologna, 1088, Bologna Italy.

Citation: Matteo Maria Cati. Enhancing Public Health Policy: Insights from Vernon Smith's Behavioral and Experimental Economics, with a Consideration of Trade-offs and an Agent-Based Model Example for Disease Spread and Public Health Interventions. *Journal of Biotechnology and Biomedicine*. 7 (2024): 198-203.

Received: April 17, 2024

Accepted: April 23, 2024

Published: May 09, 2024

about health risks or preventive measures, leading to suboptimal health choices.

- **Compliance Issues:** Even with complete information, individuals may not always adhere to recommended health behaviors due to factors like cost, convenience, or social pressure.
- **Externalities:** Public health issues often involve externalities, where individual choices have unintended consequences for others (e.g., not getting vaccinated puts others at risk).

Connecting Smith and Public Health

Smith's framework offers tools to address these challenges:

- **Bounded Rationality and Preventive Health:** Public health interventions can be designed to address limitations in rationality by:
 - o Simplifying information: Providing clear, concise messages about health risks and benefits.
 - o Framing interventions effectively: Highlighting the immediate benefits of healthy choices (e.g., healthier food options readily available) over long-term health risks.
- **Incentive Structures and Nudging Behavior:** Smith's work emphasizes the power of incentives to influence behavior.
 - o Public health interventions can leverage this by designing incentive structures that "nudge" individuals towards healthier choices (e.g., rewards for completing health screenings or adhering to healthy eating habits) [39,40,41,42].
 - o However, the use of incentives and nudging techniques raises important ethical considerations. While they aim to promote beneficial behaviors, there are concerns about potential infringements on personal autonomy and the risk of coercion or undue influence, especially for vulnerable populations. Careful analysis is needed to ensure incentives are implemented transparently, equitably, and with appropriate oversight, respecting individual rights and avoiding exploitation or discrimination.

Trade-offs and Considerations

While Smith's framework offers valuable insights, it's important to acknowledge the need for careful analysis of potential trade-offs:

- **Balancing incentives and coercion:** Balancing incentives and coercion: Incentives should nudge, not coerce, individuals towards healthy choices. However, determining where the line between an acceptable nudge and unethical coercion lies can be challenging.

Factors such as the magnitude of the incentive, the target population's vulnerability, and the potential for unintended consequences must be carefully evaluated. Robust ethical frameworks and guidelines should be developed to ensure incentive-based interventions respect individual autonomy, promote equity, and minimize the risk of exploitation or unfair manipulation.

- **Cost-effectiveness:** The cost of providing incentives needs to be balanced against the expected health benefits [8,11,14,19].
- **Sustainability:** Programs relying on long-term incentives need to be financially sustainable [15].

Optimizing Institutions for Public Health

Institutional design also plays a crucial role

- **Role of government:** Determining the appropriate level of government involvement in healthcare delivery, health information dissemination [44], and regulation of unhealthy products [25].
- **Competition within healthcare markets:** Exploring the potential benefits of introducing competition within healthcare systems to improve efficiency and cost-effectiveness, while ensuring equitable access to quality care [13,16].
- **Balancing individual choice with public health goals:** Ensuring that institutional designs promote individual health without infringing on personal liberties.

Future Research

Further research is needed to explore the effectiveness of applying Smith's ideas:

- **Empirical studies:** Testing the impact of incentive-based interventions (e.g., using randomized control trials) on public health outcomes like vaccination rates or obesity [9,12].
- **Agent-based modeling:** Developing agent-based models in Python to simulate the behavior of individuals under different public health interventions and incentive structures.

Lessons Learned from the COVID-19 Pandemic:

- **Public Health Policy and Interventions:**
 - o Promote public trust in science to combat misinformation, such as 'fake news' [1,2,3,43].
 - o Balance public health measures with social and economic considerations [15,17,18,22,23].
 - o Address health inequities to ensure equitable access to healthcare and resources [27].
 - o Promote individual responsibility for healthy behaviors like vaccination and hygiene [10].

General Trends

- **Initial Surge:** The initial portion of the graph depicts a sharp rise in the number of infected individuals. This represents the exponential growth phase of the disease as it spreads rapidly through the susceptible population.
- **Plateau or Decline:** As the disease progresses, people start recovering (either naturally or through vaccination). This can lead to a plateau in the infection count, where the number of newly infected individuals is balanced by those recovering. Alternatively, the graph might show a decline, indicating a decrease in overall infected individuals.
- **Multiple Waves:** Depending on the simulation parameters, the graph might show multiple peaks, indicating successive waves of infection. This could occur (but it is not the case of our example) if recovered individuals don't gain long-term immunity and become susceptible again.

Impact of Parameters

- **Transmission Rate:** This parameter influences the steepness of the initial rise in infections. A higher transmission rate will lead to a steeper initial curve and potentially a higher peak number of infected individuals. Conversely, a lower transmission rate will result in a slower and less severe initial outbreak.
- **Recovery Rate:** This parameter affects how quickly infected individuals recover. A higher recovery rate will lead to a faster decline in the infected population after the peak. This signifies a more efficient recovery process within the population.
- **Vaccination Rate:** A higher vaccination rate within the population translates to a lower overall number of infected individuals. The graph might also show a less pronounced peak of infections, indicating the mitigating effect of vaccination.

Other Considerations

The random nature of the simulation, using functions like `random.random()`, can introduce some fluctuations in the number of infected individuals even after the peak. These fluctuations don't necessarily represent significant trends but reflect the inherent randomness within the modeled population. This agent-based model demonstrates how Vernon Smith's concepts can be applied in practice. By incorporating individual characteristics and decision-making processes, we can simulate the effects of bounded rationality and incentive structures on public health outcomes. For instance, the model could be extended to include economic factors, where individuals with lower income levels may be more likely to engage in risky behaviors due to economic vulnerability, as suggested by Smith's framework [4]. Alternatively, we

could introduce incentives for vaccination or preventive behaviors, similar to the "nudging" concept discussed in the article [38]. By calibrating the model with real-world data and incorporating insights from behavioral and institutional economics, such agent-based simulations can inform the design of more effective and sustainable public health interventions, balancing health goals with economic and social considerations [6,7]. This practical example illustrates the value of interdisciplinary collaboration, integrating economic theory, public health expertise, and computational modeling to address complex societal challenges.

Conclusion

By integrating Vernon Smith's framework of behavioral and experimental economics, lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic, and the potential of agent-based modeling, we can strengthen public health policy design. This multidisciplinary approach offers valuable tools to navigate the challenges of promoting healthy behaviors, mitigating disease spread, and minimizing negative economic and social impacts, ultimately leading to a healthier and more resilient society. To illustrate the practical application of Smith's ideas and the power of agent-based modeling, we presented a Python-based ABM simulating the spread of a new disease and the effects of various interventions. This model incorporates individual characteristics, disease transmission dynamics, recovery rates, and vaccination interventions, allowing us to simulate the spread of a disease and analyze the potential impact of various public health measures. By combining economic theory, public health expertise, and computational modeling, such agent-based simulations can inform the design of more effective and sustainable interventions. For instance, the model could be extended to include economic factors influencing individual behavior, as suggested by Smith's framework [4], or to incorporate incentive structures for promoting healthy choices [38]. Through interdisciplinary collaboration and the integration of diverse perspectives, we can develop a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between public health, economic factors, and human behavior [30,31]. This holistic approach, grounded in empirical data and rigorous modeling, can guide policymakers in making informed decisions that balance health objectives with economic and social considerations [33-37]. Ultimately, by embracing the insights from Vernon Smith's work, drawing lessons from past experiences like the COVID-19 pandemic, and leveraging the power of computational tools like agent-based modeling, we can create a more resilient and equitable public health system, capable of addressing current and future challenges effectively. As we explore the potential of incentives and nudging techniques in public health policy, it is crucial to maintain a critical and ethical perspective. Ongoing research, public discourse, and collaboration with ethicists, policymakers, and community stakeholders

are essential to navigate the complex trade-offs between promoting beneficial behaviors and respecting individual rights and autonomy. Developing robust ethical frameworks and guidelines will be vital to ensure the responsible and equitable implementation of these interventions.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Vernon L. Smith, Nobel laureate in economics, for his inspirational email exchange. His insights into behavioral and experimental economics have profoundly influenced my understanding of how economic principles can be applied to public health policy design.

References

- [1] Smith, Vernon L. The Economy Will Survive the Coronavirus Don't despair. Even amid the doom and gloom there are many things to be glad about." Wall Street Journal (2020).
- [2] Smith Vernon L. Coronavirus Economy: Reports of an Economic Crash Have Been Greatly Exaggerated.
- [3] The economy today lives in suspense, not free-fall. The pandemic will pass. Supply chains will refill. Securities markets will recover, and growth will continue. USA (2020).
- [4] Routledge Revivals. Economics of Natural and Environmental Resources. (Ed. Vernon L. Smith) New York: Routledge (1977).
- [5] Smith VL. Causal versus Consequential Motives in Mental Models of Agent Social and Economic Action: Experiments, and the Neoclassical Diversion in Economics. *Kyklos* 73 (2020): 341-370.
- [6] Chase B, Porter D, Shen Y, et al. Complements and substitutes in a dynamic consumption-asset economy: A laboratory experiment. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* (2023).
- [7] Inoua S, Smith VL. *ECONOMICS OF MARKETS Neoclassical Theory, Experiments, and Theory of Price Discovery*. Chaim, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan Springer Nature (2022).
- [8] Palma, et al. Health versus income amid COVID-19: What do people value more?. *Plos one* (2022)
- [9] Barnieh, et al. Attitudes Toward Strategies to Increase Organ Donation. *Clinical journal of the american society of nephrology* (2012).
- [10] Degeling, et al. Changes in public preferences for technologically enhanced surveillance following the COVID-19 pandemic: a discrete choice experiment. *Bmj open* (2020).
- [11] Bigsby, et al. Estimating Acceptability of Financial Health Incentives. *Health education & behavior* (2016).
- [12] Voica and Schmitz. Trading risk for ambiguity: Production versus health under pesticide application" *American journal of agricultural economics* (2021).
- [13] Hawkes and Thow. Implications of the Central America-Dominican Republic-Free Trade Agreement for the nutrition transition in Central America" *Revista panamericana de salud pública* (2008).
- [14] Bremer and Busemeyer. Fiscal policy preferences, trade-offs, and support for social investment. *Journal of public policy* (2022).
- [15] Irfan, et al. Coronavirus pandemic in the South Asia region: Health policy and economy trade-off. *Journal of global health* (2023).
- [16] Labonté, et al. The Trans-Pacific Partnership: Is It Everything We Feared for Health?. *International journal of health policy and management* (2016).
- [17] Suah. Lives and Livelihood, Not Quite a Trade-Off: A Cross-Country Analysis of the Short- Term Impact of COVID-19 Mortality on Real GDP (2023).
- [18] Lam. United by the global COVID-19 pandemic: divided by our values and viral identities. *Humanities and social sciences communications* (2021).
- [19] Giles, et al. Acceptability of financial incentives for encouraging uptake of healthy behaviours: A critical review using systematic methods. *Preventive medicine* (2015).
- [20] Hirono, et al. Is health impact assessment useful in the context of trade negotiations? A case study of the Trans Pacific Partnership Agreement. *Bmj open* (2016).
- [21] Donaldson, et al. The social value of a QALY: raising the bar or barring the raise?. *Bmc health services research* (2011).
- [22] Darden, et al. Modeling to inform economy-wide pandemic policy: Bringing epidemiologists and economists together" *Health economics* (2022).
- [23] Donaldson and Mitton. Coronavirus: Where Has All the Health Economics Gone?. *International journal of health policy and management* (2020).
- [24] Alpaslan, et al. The Dynamics of Health Care and Growth: A Model with Physician in Dual Practice. *Ssrn electronic journal* (2019).
- [25] Kickbusch. The political determinants of health--10 years on. *Bmj* (2015).
- [26] Krueger, et al. Assessing Dimensions of the Security-Liberty Trade-off in the United States. *Surveillance & society* (2020).

- [27] Thow and Gleeson. Advancing Public Health on the Changing Global Trade and Investment Agenda Comment on "The Trans-Pacific Partnership: Is It Everything We Feared for Health?" International journal of health policy and management (2016).
- [28] Lancsar, et al. Preparing for future pandemics: A multi-national comparison of health and economic trade-offs. Health economics (2023).
- [29] Upshur. Principles for the Justification of Public Health Intervention. Can j public health (2002).
- [30] Baker. Trans-Pacific Partnership Provisions in Intellectual Property, Transparency, and Investment Chapters Threaten Access to Medicines in the US and Elsewhere. Plos medicine (2016).
- [31] Berlin, et al. Public acceptability of financial incentives to reward pregnant smokers who quit smoking: a United Kingdom–France comparison. The european journal of health economics (2017).
- [32] Irfan, et al. Coronavirus pandemic in the Nordic countries: Health policy and economy trade-off" Journal of global health (2022).
- [33] Donaldson, et al. The social value of a QALY: raising the bar or barring the raise?. BMC health services research (2011).
- [34] Bremer and Busemeyer. Fiscal policy preferences, trade-offs, and support for social investment. Journal of public policy (2022).
- [35] Barnieh, et al. Attitudes Toward Strategies to Increase Organ Donation. Clinical journal of the american society of nephrology (2012).
- [36] Lancsar, et al. Preparing for future pandemics: A multi-national comparison of health and economic trade-offs. Health economics (2023).
- [37] Donaldson, Mitton. Coronavirus: Where Has All the Health Economics Gone?. International journal of health policy and management (2020).
- [38] Giles, et al. Acceptability of financial incentives for encouraging uptake of healthy behaviours: A critical review using systematic methods.
- [39] Kuyser P, Gordijn B. Nudge in perspective: A systematic literature review on the ethical issues with nudging. Rationality and Society 35 (2023): 191-230.
- [40] Engelen B, Nys TRV. Pushed for Being Better: On the Possibility and Desirability of Moral Nudging. J Value Inquiry (2024).
- [41] Schmidt AT, Engelen B. The ethics of nudging: An overview. Philosophy Compass 15 (2020): e12658.
- [42] Sunstein CR. The ethics of nudging. Yale Journal of Regulation 32 (2015a): 413–450.
- [43] Cati MM. Scientific Communication in the Storm: The War Against the Fakedemic on COVID-19 Vaccines (Archives of Microbiology and Immunology 6 (2022).
- [44] Cati MM. A Comparative Analysis of Communication Strategies in Managing the COVID-19 Pandemic Crisis: Lessons from New Zealand and Sweden, Considering Population Differences (Chemical & Pharmaceutical Research 6 (2024).