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Abstract
Osteosarcoma, a prevalent primary malignant bone tumor, presents a pressing challenge in terms of improving patient prognosis effectively. Extracellular vesicles, encompassing vesicular structure with a bilayered biomembrane released by cells, have been shown to play a significant role in the pathogenesis and progression of osteosarcoma. This mini-review provides an overview of the fundamental biological characteristics of extracellular vesicles and their therapeutic implications in osteosarcoma. Furthermore, it presents an outlook on the current limitations of extracellular vesicles and their potential future applications.
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Introduction
Osteosarcoma (OS) is a prevalent primary malignant bone tumor characterized by clinical manifestations such as pain, swelling, and reduced mobility. It has the highest incidence among adolescents and individuals over 60 years old [1]. Since 1980, surgical resection and chemotherapy have been the primary clinical approaches for treating OS [2]. The 5-year event-free survival rate for non-metastatic OS is approximately 60%. However, in individuals with multiple lung nodules or radiographically detected tumor metastasis in other locations, the 5-year event-free survival rate drops below 20% [2]. Improving the prognosis of OS patients effectively is currently an urgent issue.

The major pathological feature of OS is the presence of osteolytic tumor cells capable of producing osteoid-like matrix [3]. In addition to chromosomal complexity, alterations in copy number, and mutations in tumor suppressor genes (e.g., TP53), key molecular changes occur at the molecular level during the occurrence and development of OS [4]. These changes primarily include: (1) the enhanced osteolytic activity of osteoclasts due to cytokines produced by tumor cells (such as IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α), disrupting the balance between osteoblasts and osteoclasts; (2) the release of bone matrix factors, including IGF-1 and TGF-β, during the process of bone resorption, promoting tumor cell proliferation and establishing a malignant cycle in the development of OS; (3) other factors, such as extracellular vesicles (EVs) in the tumor microenvironment (TME) and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), have been demonstrated to be involved in the occurrence and development of OS [5-8].

Despite the gradual elucidation of the underlying mechanisms involved in the proliferation and metastasis of OS, the current clinical treatment strategies for OS remain limited. On one hand, tumor cells exhibit strong invasiveness, often leading to metastasis in the lungs and distal ends of long bones, posing
challenges for surgical treatment [1]. On the other hand, tumor cells demonstrate resistance to various chemotherapy drugs [9]. To overcome these difficulties, there is a need to develop an effective and safe drug delivery system to improve the 5-year survival rate of OS patients and minimize the side effects associated with chemotherapy. Studies have shown that in the OS microenvironment, there is close crosstalk between tumor cells, bone matrix cells, and immune cells, mediated by cytokines, direct cell-to-cell contact, and EVs [10] (Figure 1).

EVs are a collective term for various vesicular structures released by cells, characterized by a double-layered biomembrane. EVs can be divided into small extracellular vesicles (sEVs), also known as exosomes, microvesicles (MVs) and larger apoptotic bodies based on the diameter of the vesicles [11]. EVs contain nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and even mitochondria, playing a crucial role in cell communication within the TME and participating in various biological processes such as tumor development, angiogenesis, and immune response [12,13]. Due to their unique characteristics, EVs are considered a potential therapeutic strategy for OS: (1) nanoscale size; (2) cell targeting ability; (3) high stability to counteract enzymatic degradation; (4) capability to deliver cargo [14,15].

This mini-review begins by providing an overview of the generation and structural patterns of EVs in OS, followed by a review of the significant roles of EVs derived from the TME in the occurrence, development, and metastasis of OS. Furthermore, the current strategies for preclinical treatment utilizing EVs are discussed, along with prospects for future research and clinical applications.

**Properties of Extracellular Vesicles**

EVs are diminutive vesicular structures generated intracellularly and subsequently released into the extracellular milieu, exhibiting a distinctive lipid bilayer enveloping the cytoplasmic content [16]. EVs, serving as endogenous nanocarriers, enable the transportation of vital cellular components such as proteins, nucleic acids, lipids, and therapeutic agents, thereby fulfilling their role as a cellular-based nanoscale drug delivery system [17,18]. Categorized based on their dimensions and cellular origins, EVs can be broadly classified into three principal subtypes: exosomes, MVs, and apoptotic bodies [19]. Notably, these EVs secreted by cells present within the intricate milieu of the TME act as mediators, profoundly affecting intercellular communications within the tumor stroma by facilitating the transfer of non-coding RNA and requisite proteins, thereby expediently promoting cellular proliferation, metastasis, as well as augmenting tumor immune evasion and dissemination [20-22].
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**Figure 1.** EVs mediate cellular communication in the TME of OS: Tumor cells secrete EVs that induce phenotypic changes in MSCs. These altered MSCs, through the secretion of EVs, participate in tumor cell proliferation and metastasis, as well as immune cell suppression.

Classification and Characteristics of Extracellular Vesicles

EVs, derived from cellular origins, are a class of nanoscale vesicular entities that have emerged as a subject of substantial research interest. Among them, exosomes, as the smallest EV subtype, typically exhibit diameters ranging from 30 to 150 nanometers, and have demonstrated significant potential in OS therapeutics [23]. Exosomes are generated during the intricate process involving inward budding of the plasma membrane and the formation of intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) within the intracellular multivesicular bodies (MVBs), while engaging in crosstalk with other intracellular vesicles and organelles, thereby resulting in the ultimate composition of exosomes [24]. The bi-layered vesicular structures formed are specifically recognized and recruited by the polymeric exosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery, culminating in the fusion of vesicles with the cytoplasmic membrane and their subsequent release [25].

As natural nanocarriers, exosomes harbor a diverse array of constituents encompassing nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, amino acids, and metabolites, which propagate through bodily fluids, facilitating intercellular communication and the modulation of cellular functionality and metabolic processes [26,27]. MVs, representing a slightly larger category of EVs, exhibit diameters ranging from 40 to 1000 nanometers, and originate from distinct microdomains on the cellular membrane. They primarily transport membrane-associated proteins and lipids, forming through processes such as membrane budding or shedding [28]. Apoptotic bodies, the largest structures among EVs, typically ranging from 1 to 5 micrometers in diameter, predominantly emerge during cellular apoptosis. These entities carry cellular debris and organelles, and are typically recognized and cleared by immune surveillance mechanisms [29] (Figure 2).

Origin and Structure of Extracellular Vesicles

EVs originating from diverse cellular sources possess distinct biological activities and are increasingly employed in OS therapy. These EVs can derive from various entities, including OS tumor cells, MSCs, osteoblasts, macrophages, tissue-engineered implants, and even artificial nanovesicles. Notably, a multitude of cell types, such as epithelial cells, hematopoietic cells, tumor cells, and MSCs, have been shown to secrete EVs, among which MSCs have gained significant attention as an ideal cell source for large-scale production due to their ease of isolation, ethical neutrality, and expandability [30]. Lin et al. employed an exosome isolation kit to isolate EVs (MSC-EVs) from bone marrow-derived MSCs (BM-MSCs). Subsequently, they harnessed these EVs for the efficient targeting OS cells by engineering them as carriers for doxorubicin (Exo-Dox) through a process involving the combination of MSC-EVs with Dox-HCl, followed by desalting using triethylamine and overnight dialysis using phosphate-buffered saline (18). Furthermore, research has evidenced the presence of miRNAs associated with cell adhesion and apoptosis within EVs derived from OS cell lines, rendering them potential therapeutic targets.
for addressing OS metastasis [31]. Ji et al. identified sEVs of OS cell line origin as vehicles for delivering miR-19a-3p, which, via the modulation of intercellular communication, promotes osteoclast differentiation and bone destruction, thus offering novel prospects for targeted OS therapy [32]. Conversely, EVs derived from human OS cells have been shown to exert effects on bone cells, including osteoblasts [33]. Rucci et al. conducted comprehensive investigations on the impact of osteoblast-derived EVs (OB-EVs) on the tumor phenotype, mitochondrial energy metabolism, and oxidative damage of MNG/HOS cells. Intriguingly, their findings unveiled that OB-EVs can abate the invasive behavior and vitality of OS cells via redox-dependent signaling pathways, without perturbing mitochondrial dynamics and energy metabolism, thereby elucidating previously unexplored facets of their functionality [34]. Additionally, Yin et al. provided compelling evidence that EVs sourced from M1-polarized macrophages (M1EVs) can serve as immunomodulators within the TME for OS therapy [35].

Moreover, extensive endeavors are currently underway to develop exosome mimetics (EMs) exhibiting enhanced carrier capabilities to address the formidable challenges associated with the purification and large-scale production of exosomes [36, 37]. Wang et al. devised a sequential cell extrusion approach to fabricate EMs that not only retain the functional attributes of exosomes but also facilitate high-yield provision of chemotherapeutic agents [38].

The role of extracellular vesicles in osteosarcoma

In previous studies, EVs were found to be able to excrete metabolic wastes from cells, perform cellular communication, and act as nano-delivery carriers for nucleic acids and drugs [39-41]. EVs are highly stable, small in size, and low in immunogenicity, and therefore have potential for disease treatment [39,42].

Various healthy and cancer cells can produce EVs, which regulate TME, affect OS cell proliferation, migration, invasion and angiogenesis, and promote OS cell immune escape through cellular communication and cell signaling [43,44]. Han et al. found that the exosome miR-1307 secreted in OS cells inhibited AGAP1 expression, thus enhancing the growth, invasion and migration of OS cells. Therefore, the miR-1307-AGAP1 axis may provide a new target for future treatment of osteosarcoma [45]. Li et al. demonstrated that OS cells generate exosome Inc-OIP5-AS1 and act on other OS cells to regulate angiogenesis in OS through miR-153 and ATG5 [46]. EVs secreted by cancer cells can deliver tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) and generate anti-tumor immune responses. However, they also inhibit immune cells, thereby promoting the escape of tumor cells [47,48]. Kerri et al. showed that metastatic OS cells produce exosomal TGFb2 that promotes the M2 phenotype, which promotes immunosuppression and facilitates tumorigenesis [49]. In addition, EVs are also associated with the immune microenvironment within OS cells, and second-generation sequencing has demonstrated that specific miRNAs, such as miR-21-5p and miR-148a, have a significant impact on the TME [50]. EVs secreted by OS cells may also affect bone development. An OS-derived exosome called miR-501-3p, promotes osteoclastogenesis and worsens bone loss through the PTEN/ PI3K/Akt signaling pathway [51].

EVs derived from other cells also have effects on OS cells. Exosomal-miR-206 from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) inhibited OS cell proliferation, migration and invasion by targeting TRA2B [52]. Li et al. found that BMSC-EVs promoted the proliferation, invasion and migration of OS cells through the MALAT1/miR-143/NRASS2/Wnt/b-catenin axis [53]. The macrophage-derived exosome Inc-LIFR-AS1 promotes the proliferation, invasion and apoptosis of OS cells through the miR-29a/NFIA axis [54]. Moreover, Tao et al. showed that EWSAT1 acts in concert with EVs to induce an increase in the secretion of angiogenic factors, which affects tumor angiogenesis [55]. Ge et al. proved that exosomal LCP1 secreted by BMSCs could promote bone proliferation and metastasis via the JAK2/STAT3 pathway [56].

The application of extracellular vesicles in osteosarcoma treatment

OS is highly heterogeneous and genetically complex, which makes its treatment challenging. Kyung et al. demonstrated that EVs activate OS cell apoptosis and have anti-tumor effects [35]. In addition, the properties of EVs allow them to specifically target OS cells as drug carriers for treatment [57,58]. Conventional chemotherapeutic agents used for OS treatment include Methotrexate, Doxorubicin (Dox), Ifosfamide, Cyclophosphamide, Carboplatin or Cisplatin, Gencitabine and/or Etoposide, etc. EVs loaded with chemotherapeutic drugs can significantly reduce the dose-dependent side effects of chemotherapeutic drugs and improve their efficacy in cancer treatment [59]. Wei et al. prepared exosomes loaded with Doxorubicin and demonstrated its advantages in chemotherapy targeting OS [18]. It is noteworthy that EVs also affect cellular resistance to chemotherapy. Pan et al. have shown that exosomes from cisplatin-resistant cells (CDDP) increases the expression levels of multidrug resistance-associated protein 1 and p-glycoprotein, and promotes chemoresistance to CDDP in MG63 and U2OS cells [60]. Multidrug-resistant OS cells can enhance drug resistance by secreting exosomes carrying MDR-1 mRNA and its p-glycoprotein product [61].

miRNA therapeutics are receiving a significant amount of attention, but the lack of good and safe target cell vectors limits their application, while EVs provide a direction for the safe and effective delivery of miRNAs to OS cells. OS-derived EVs binding miR-21 were able to act on fibroblasts, immune cells and endothelial cells to affect angiogenesis,
metastasis and immune escape of OS cells [62]. Shimbo et al. found that exosomes binding artificial miR-143, acting on BMSCs, were able to have a therapeutic effect on OS cells and significantly reduce metastasis [63]. Furthermore, EVs carrying miR-150 can reduce the proliferation and migration of OS cells by targeting IGF2BP1 (insulin-like growth factor-2 mRNA-binding protein 1) [64]. EVs-derived circRNAs can be delivered to OS cells, affecting their gene expression and protein function and thus tumor metastasis [65]. Studies have demonstrated that circRNA overexpression can inhibit the proliferation, migration and invasion of OS cells, and circRNA-rich exosomes may be a good therapeutic target for OS [66] (Figure 3). In addition, Jiang et al. found that exosomes loaded with miR-144-3p and ZEB1, could regulate the iron death mechanism to modulate OS development, demonstrating that ferritin-associated miR-144-3p could be used as an anticancer therapeutic target [67].

Concerns and Perspectives

To date, the precise role of EVs in OS remains elusive. While previous studies have demonstrated promising therapeutic efficacy of EVs in animal models, there is still a significant gap between EV-based treatments and OS clinical management. Several factors contribute to this disparity. Firstly, OS exhibits a relatively low incidence compared to other malignancies, with only 4.3 cases per million individuals in the 0-14 age group in the United States, making large-scale clinical trials challenging to conduct [68]. Additionally, the genomic instability of OS introduces individual variations that pose challenges for EV-based therapies [69].

Regarding EVs, the lack of established standardized methods for in vivo EV purification hinders the confirmation of certain research findings. Moreover, not all cargo carried by EVs influences the occurrence and progression of OS, necessitating further investigation to elucidate the relative importance of each cargo component in OS development. Furthermore, the therapeutic efficacy of EVs may vary depending on their specific sources. For instance, EVs derived from plasma are considered metabolic waste products of diseased tissues [70]. Existing research primarily focuses on solid OS tumors, and little attention has been given to exploring the use of EVs to target circulating tumor cells involved in OS hematogenous metastasis. Most importantly, the clinical application of EVs should be grounded in safety and efficacy. While enhancing the tumor-targeting capabilities of EVs, thorough assessment of their long-term effects on the organism in animal models is paramount.

As natural mediators of intercellular communication, EVs hold promise as potential candidates for OS drug delivery and gene therapy. In conclusion, a comprehensive understanding of EVs will contribute to the development of improved EV-based therapeutic strategies for OS.

Declarations

Ethical Approval
Not applicable

Consent for Publication
Not applicable

Data Availability
Data sharing is not applicable to this article because no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current investigation.

Conflicts of Interest
There is no conflict to declare.

Funding
No financial support was provided.

Author Contributions
Chao Fang and Qiuxia Peng conceived the theme of this study.
review. Kesheng Wang designed the review. Zhefei Du, Lixin Xie and Daihan Xie wrote the manuscript, conducted the literature investigation and interpreted the related literature, and prepared the figures and table. Zhefei Du critically analyzed the key knowledge in this review. Lixin Xie and Daihan Xie edited and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the ultimate manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Statements & Declarations

We declare that this manuscript is original, has never been published before, and has not been considered to be published elsewhere at present.

References

25. Larios J, Mercier V, Roux A, et al. ALIX- and ESCRT-


