

Research Article

A Review on Colonic Ischemia due to Vasoconstrictors

Ikechukwu Okereke¹, Belonwu Valentine Okafor^{2*}, Syed Burhanuddin Khadri³, Dolly Ogwu⁴, Hassaan Barkat⁵, Ofure Harrison⁶, Sangeetha Krishnamoorthy⁷, Osazee Eguagie⁴, Janet Adebukola Omole⁸, Anusha Thalla⁹, Iyanu Victoria Olateju¹⁰, Matthew Oluwafemi Owolabi¹⁰, Ogechi Lilian Okeke¹, Ufuoma Olori¹¹, Chisa Okachi Oparanma¹¹, Hemant Kumar Kattula¹²

*Corresponding author: Belonwu Valentine Okafor, Department of Internal Medicine, Nnamdi Azikiwe University College of Health Sciences, Nigeria

Received: 14 June 2021; Accepted: 21 June 2021; Published: 28 June 2021

Citation: Ikechukwu Okereke, Belonwu Valentine Okafor, Syed Burhanuddin Khadri, Dolly Ogwu, Hassaan Barkat, Ofure Harrison, Sangeetha Krishnamoorthy, Osazee Eguagie, Janet Adebukola Omole, Anusha Thalla, Iyanu Victoria Olateju, Matthew Oluwafemi Owolabi, Ogechi Lilian Okeke, Ufuoma Olori, Chisa Okachi Oparanma, Hemant Kumar Kattula. A Review on Colonic Ischemia due to Vasoconstrictors. Archives of Internal Medicine Research 4 (2021): 184-195.

¹University of Nigeria Faculty of Medicine, Nigeria

²Nnamdi Azikiwe University College of Health Sciences, Nigeria

³Beihua University School of Medicine

⁴University of Benin School of Medicine, Nigeria

⁵Islamic International Medical College, Pakistan

⁶V. N Karazin Kharkiv National University, Ukraine

⁷Tianjin Medical University, China

⁸Allsaints University College of Medicine, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines

⁹Katuri Medical College, India

¹⁰Washington Adventist University, USA

¹¹Kharkiv National Medical University, Ukraine

¹²Avalon University School of Medicine (AUSOM), Curação

Abstract

Intestinal ischemia results due to reduced blood flow to the intestine. Hypoperfusion of mesenteric vasculature can be due to occlusive or nonocclusive etiology. Nonocclusive mesenteric ischemia (NOMI) is due to arterial spasm from vasoconstrictors. Colon ischemia has a reported mortality rate ranging from 6 to 25%, depending upon the causal agent and comorbidities. This review's scope was to examine the body of published literature regarding outcomes of iatrogenic NOMI and compare cocaine-related NOMI with other causes of iatrogenic large bowel ischemia.

A literature search was conducted on Pubmed and Google scholar, using "Mesenteric Ischemia" and "Vasoconstrictor" as the Mesh terms. Twenty-two articles (19 case reports, 3 case series) were finally included in our review. Among study subjects, Abdominal pain was the presenting complaint in 88.88% of patients, and bloody bowel movements were reported in 81.48% of patients. Diagnostic modalities used included colonoscopy (59.26%), sigmoidoscopy (23.07%), computed tomography (37.04%), plain abdominal films (11.54%), and laparotomy (19.23%). Combining findings from all the diagnostic modalities revealed pan-colonic involvement in 11.54% of patients, proximal colon in 23.08% of patients, 7.68% of patients had involvement of transverse colon and descending/ sigmoid colon were involved in 55.56%. Splenic flexure region involvement was noticed in 30.77% of cases. Most of the patients had more than one region of bowel involved. Findings of severe colon ischemia, including ulcers, hemorrhages, and gangrene, were found in 70.37% of patients on colonoscopy or autopsy. Nineteen patients (70.37%) were managed conservatively with broad-spectrum antibiotics, intravenous fluids, and bowel rest. Two of them died due to septic shock, while the remaining 17 recovered without any further complications. Eight patients (29.63%) required surgical

management, and two of them had septic shock, causing death.

In this series, nonoperative management had a success rate of 89%, while surgical management had a success rate of 75%. Based on the available reported dataset, mean hospitalization days for patients managed nonoperatively were 4.31 (Range 2-10). For patients requiring surgery, it was 21 (range 4-60) due to sepsis and multiorgan failure, complicating the colon ischemia and prolonging the stay. Significant differences were found between cocaine and non-cocaine vasoconstrictor-induced large bowel NOMI regarding surgery and length of hospital stay (7 days vs 4 days), but the difference in mortality and hospital score did not reach statistical significance. Our article's message is that in patients with acute abdominal pain where a diagnosis of colon ischemia is being entertained, care should be taken not to miss out on the potential role of vasoconstrictors, including cocaine.

Keywords: Colon Ischemia; Vasoconstrictors

1. Introduction

Intestinal ischemia results from an insult that causes reduced blood flow to a level inadequate to meet the oxygen and nutrients demand required for cellular metabolism [1]. It can be caused by hypoperfusion of mesenteric vasculature due to occlusive or nonocclusive etiology. Occlusive etiologies include embolic or thrombotic arterial occlusion and venous thrombosis. Nonocclusive mesenteric ischemia (NOMI) results from severe mesenteric arterial hypoperfusion with secondary arterial spasm due to several causes, including hypovolemia, heart failure, shock, vasoconstrictors, and severe liver or renal disease. Patients with acute colonic ischemia usually present with rapid onset of cramping abdominal pain and tenderness over the affected bowel, often involving the left side [2].

The differential diagnosis of colonic ischemia is broad and includes small bowel ischemia, infectious colitis, inflammatory bowel disease, and many other causes for abdominal pain and lower gastrointestinal bleeding. Treatment depends on the severity and etiology of colonic ischemia. It resolves in most patients with supportive care, including nasogastric tube insertion, nutritional support, antibiotics, antithrombotic therapy if occlusive ischemia, and abdominal exploration if signs of colonic infarction and necrosis are present [3]. The prognosis of patients with ischemic colitis depends upon the etiology, disease severity, distribution, and comorbidities [3].

2. Methods

2.1 Data abstraction

A literature search was conducted on PubMed using "Mesenteric Ischemia' and 'Vasoconstrictor" as the Mesh terms. For inclusion in this review, the patient discussed must have a diagnosis of large bowel ischemia with vasoconstrictor as its etiology. Of the articles generated through the search, 50 were for the large colon. Articles were excluded if patients had a secondary or significant concomitant reason to develop colon ischemia (e.g. adhesions, volvulus, serotonin syndrome, or any other definite etiology causing septic shock) to avoid bias. Pharmacologic agents causing colon ischemia by means other than vasoconstriction were also excluded.

The study design was simplified to case reports or case series. Patient-specific information was recorded for age, gender, race, smoking status, type of vasoconstrictor, colonoscopic findings, and whether a surgical procedure was required during the hospital or not. Cocaine use was confirmed by either a positive urine drug screen or from history taken from the patients. Data related to colonoscopic findings were recorded for the presence or absence of hemorrhages.

Also, patterns of colon ischemia were evaluated by colonoscopy reports, CT images, or surgical findings.

To avoid potential bias while investigating intestinal ischemia due to vasoconstrictors, studies with small-intestinal colon ischemia were excluded due to the extensive blood supply of the small intestine through multiple jejunal and ileal arteries, which then go on to form an extensive anastomotic network and arterial arcades before supplying intestinal wall.

2.2 Objectives

The study's primary outcomes were mortality and hospital length of stay (LOS), while secondary outcomes included the need for surgery, LACE index, and Hospital score.

2.3 Baseline characteristics

The initial search yielded 59 manuscripts for large bowel ischemia, all of which were screened for inclusion. Forty-eight manuscripts were eligible for critical evaluation, and ultimately 22 articles met the inclusion criteria. Of these 22 articles, 19 were case reports, and 3 were case series (Table 1).

Among study subjects, 92% of the patients were young (age <65), 27% were of white ethnicity, and 37% were males. Smoking history was absent in 85% of the patients. Abdominal pain was reported in 88.88% of patients, and bloody bowel movements were reported in 81.48% of patients. Diagnostic modalities used included colonoscopy (59.26%), sigmoidoscopy (23.07%), computed tomography (37.04%), plain abdominal films (11.54%), and laparotomy (19.23%). Combined findings from all the diagnostic modalities revealed pancolonic involvement in 11.54%, proximal colon in 23.08%, transverse colon in 7.68%, and descending colon and sigmoid colon in 55.56%. Splenic flexure region involvement was noticed in 30.77% of cases.

Most of the patients had more than one region of bowel involved. Findings of severe colon ischemia including ulcers, hemorrhages, and gangrene, were found in 70.37% of patients on colonoscopy or autopsy. Nineteen patients (70.37%) were managed conservatively with broad-spectrum antibiotics, intravenous fluids, and bowel rest. Two of them died due to septic shock, while 89.47% recovered without any further complications. Eight patients (29.63%) required surgical management, and two of them suffered from septic shock, causing death. In this series, nonoperative management had a

success rate of 89%, while surgical management had a success rate of 75%. Based on the available reported dataset, mean hospitalization days for patients managed non-operatively were 4.31 (Range 2-10).

For patients requiring surgery, it was 21 (range 4-60) due to sepsis and multiorgan failure, complicating the colon ischemia and prolonging the stay. The clinical features, evaluation, and outcomes of the involved patients have been summarized in Table 1.

Sr	Autho	No of	Vasoco	Indication	Symptoms	Location	Diagnosis	Reversibility	Risk	Hospital Score	Hospit	Surg
No	r	patie	nstricto		experienced				Factor	{risk of 30-Day	alizati	ery
	Name	nts	r used							potentially avoid-	on	perfo
										able	days	rmed
										readmission}		
1	Stillma	1	Ergota	Dizziness	Crampy abdominal	Distal	Barium	Yes	NA	3{low, 5.8%}	2	No
	n et al.		mine		pain and	transverse colon	enema					
	(6)		tartrate		hematochezia	and proxy-mal						
						part of distal						
						colon						
2	Lambe	1	IV	Esophageal	Hematochezia but	Rectosigmoid	Colonoscopy	Yes	NA	0 {low, 5.8%}	3	No
	rt et al.		Vasopre	variceal	no abdominal pain	junction and						
	(7)		ssin	bleed		splenic flexure						
3	Johnso	1	Phenylp	Weight loss	Severe RLQ abdo-	Proximal and	NA	Yes	Non	4 {low, 5.8%}	NA	Yes
	n et al		ropranol		minal pain with	mid-transverse			obstructtive			
	(8)		amine		bloody diarrhea	colon			Colonic			
									ischemia			
4	Fishel	1	Cocaine	NA	RLQ abdominal	Cecum and	Abdominal	No	NA	4{low, 5.8%}	NA	Yes
	et al.				pain, nausea,	ascending colon	roentgenogra					
	(9)				diarrhea, vomiting,		ms, gastrogr-					
					watery hemoccult		afin enema,					
					positive stools		laprotomy					
5	Nalban	1	Cocaine	NA	Diffuse abdominal	Ascending	Laprotomy	No	NA	NA	60	Yes
	dian et				pain, bloody stools.	colon						
	al. (10)											
6	Schmit	1	Glypres	Massive	Bloody stools	Ascending	Laparoscopy	No	NA	NA	NA	No

	t et al.		sin	hematemes		colon	and biopsy					
	(11)			is from								
				cirrhosis								
7	Rogers	1	Ergota	Migraine	Severe abdominal	Pancolonic	Arteriogram	No	NA	NA	NA	No
	et al.		mine		pain, nausea, vomi-							
	(12)				ting and fever							
8	Yang	1	Cocaine	NA	Hematochezia and	From	Colonoscopy	Yes	NA	2 {low, 5.8%}	4	No
	et al.				bloody stool.	rectosigmoid						
	(13)					junction to						
						splenic flexure						
9	Endres	2	Cocaine	NA	RLQ abdominal	Transverse and	Colonoscopy	Reversible in	Smoking	2 {low, 5.8%}	5, 7	No,
	s et al.				pain, bloody	ascending	and biopsy	one patient,	crack			Yes
	(14)				diarrhea, muscle	colon, splenic		surgery	cocaine			
					rigidity, and fever	flexure to		perfoormed				
						cecum, small		in the second				
						bowel		patient.				
10	Rutgee	1	Dihydro	Migraine	Diffuse abdominal	Splenic flexure	Colonoscopy	Yes	OCP's	0 {low, 5.8%}	2	No
	rts et		ergotam		pain and profuse	and proximal						
	al. (15)		ine		watery diarrhea	colon						
					mixed with blood							
11	Brown	1	Cocaine	NA	LLQ pain with	Sigmoid colon	Flexible	No	Diabetes	4 {low, 5.8%}	20	Yes
	et al.				hematochezia		Sigmoidosco		and			
	(16)						py		Hypert-			
									ension			
12	Knuds	2	Sumatri	Migraine	Crampy LLQ	Descending	Colonoscopy	Yes	Cigarette	4 {Low, 5.8%}	5, 5	No,
	en et		ptan		abdominal pain,	colon, sigmoid	with biopsy		smoking	4 {Low, 5.8%}		No

DOI: 10.26502/aimr.0070

	al. (17)				hematochezia	colon						
13	Dehesa et al. (18)	1	Cocaine	NA	Severe abdominal pain, confusion, agitation, bloody stools	Ascending colon and cecum	CT scan, laparotomy	No	NA	4 {low, 5.8%}	NA	Yes
14	Payne et al. (19)	1	Ergota mine tartrate	Migraine	Abdominal pain, anorexia, and weight loss	Left colon	Abdominal CT, laparotomy	No	NA	NA	4	Yes
15	Linder et al. (20)	3	Cocaine	NA	NA	Left descending colon	CT abdomen with contrast, colonoscopy with biopsy, flexible sigmoidoscop y	Reversible in the first and second patient. The third patient died 2 weeks after the initial examination	Cigarette smoking 1 pack for last 5 years in 1 patient, Hy- pertension in the other patient.	1 {low, 5.8%} 1 {low, 5.8%} 2 {low, 5.8%}	3, 14 and 7	No, No, Yes
16	Naik et al. (21)	1	Sumatri ptan	Migraine	Crampy abdominal pain, bloody diarrhea, fever	Splenic flexure	CT scan, colonoscopy with biopsy	No	NA	2 {low, 5.8%}	NA	No
17	Schwa rtz et al. (22)	1	Naratrip tan	Migraine	Hematochezia and lower abdominal pain	Splenic flexure	Colonoscopy with biopsy	Yes	NA	0 {low, 5.8%}	4	No
18	Moaw ad et al. (23)	1	Sumatri ptan	Migraine	Crampy LLQ abd pain, diarrhea, hematochezia	Descending colon	CT, flexible sigmoidoscop y,	Yes	NA	0 {low, 5.8%}	Not reporte d	No

DOI: 10.26502/aimr.0070

19	Hodge	1	Sumatri	Migraine	Abdominal pain,	Sigmoid colon	Colonoscopy	Yes	NA	1 {low, 5.8%}	4	No
	et al.		ptan		nausea		with biopsy,					
	(24)						CT abdomen					
							with contrast					
20	Westg	1	Naratrip	Migraine	Lower abdominal	Descending	Colonoscopy	Yes	NA	2 {low, 5.8%}	4	No
	eest et		tan		pain and	colon	CT abdomen					
	al. (25)				hematochezia		and					
							mesenteric					
							angiography					
21	Nguye	1	Sumatri	Migraine	LLQ abdominal	Splenic flexure,	CT scan,	Yes	NA	3 {low, 5.8%}	10	No
	n et al.		ptan		pain, nausea,	descending	colonoscopy					
	(26)				vomiting, bloody	colon to	with biopsy,					
					diarrhea,	sigmoid colon	Magnetic					
					diaphoresis,		Resonance					
					tenesmus		Angiography					
22	Akbar	1	Naratrip	Chronic	Crampy lower	Transverse	CT abdomen	Yes	NA	3 {low, 5.8%}	5	No
	et al.		tan	migraine	abdominal pain,	colon to	with contrast,					
	(27)				bloody diarrhea,	sigmoid colon	colonoscopy					
					nausea		with biopsy					

 Table 1: Demographics of involved patients.

3. Results

Outcome indices that we used included the hospital score, mortality, hospital length of stay, and requirement for surgery [34]. Hospital score predicts 30-day readmission risk [35]. In our subset of patients, there were significant differences between cocaine and non-cocaine vasoconstrictor-induced large bowel NOMI regarding surgery and length of hospital stay (7 days vs. 4 days), but the difference in mortality and Hospital score did not reach statistical significance. This led us to conclude that there is no true difference in the outcomes discussed for cocaine and non-cocaine vasoconstrictor-related large bowel ischemia, and both behave similarly.

Even though these vasoconstrictors have different mechanisms and different receptors to act on, all of these cause vasoconstriction of arteries resulting in hypoperfusion, and subsequently, intestinal ischemia-a theory that explains similar outcomes in terms of mortality between these groups.

4. Discussion

The most common form of intestinal ischemia is colonic ischemia, and it mostly affects older adults [28]. It results from either occlusive vascular disease or nonocclusive disease and can be gangrenous or nongangrenous. Nonocclusive colonic ischemia is due to mesenteric arterial vasoconstriction. Colon ischemia has a reported mortality rate ranging from 6 to 25%, depending upon the causal agent and comorbidities [29, 301. This review focuses on nonocclusive colonic ischemia caused by vasoconstrictors. The vasoconstrictors found in the literature associated with ischemia included cocaine, sumatriptan, colon naratriptan, ergotamine, ergotamine, phenylpropanolamine, and vasopressin. Cocaine produces severe vasoconstriction of the splanchnic circulation leading to ischemia and possible infarction. Texter et al. suggested that cocaine acts on alpha-adrenergic receptors abundantly found in the ileum and colon, blocking the reuptake of released norepinephrine [31]. Triptans and ergotamine bind to the serotonin receptors (5-HT1B and 5-HT1D respectively), and these receptors are also abundantly found in the intestinal wall as described in an animal study [32]. Phenylpropanolamine has an affinity for alpha-receptors, and vasopressin binding to V1 receptors on vessels results in vasoconstriction. A hybrid case-control study by Elramah et al. described that cocaine-related ischemic colitis has a significantly higher mortality rate. The control group in the described study included individuals who met the diagnostic criteria of ischemic colitis but had no history of cocaine use and a urine test negative for cocaine [5].

Our review focused primarily on the colonic ischemia caused by vasoconstrictors and compared cocainerelated large bowel ischemia with that caused by other vasoconstrictors. Due to the increased potential of mortality and emergent need for surgery, thorough history taking of potential use of drugs causing vasoconstriction and decreased intestinal perfusion is of paramount importance. Medical providers should also look for other potential drugs associated with colon ischemia. Although most patients will have transient ischemia with non-gangrenous colitis that can be successfully managed nonoperatively, prompt recognition and surgical intervention are critical in patients with gangrenous colitis [33]. In our dataset, ischemia was reversible, requiring only conservative management in the majority of the patients (88%) in the non-cocaine vasoconstrictor group compared with 40% of patients in the cocaine group.

The most common presenting complaints of patients in our review included abdominal pain (88.88%) and bloody bowel movements (81.48%). The diagnostic modalities employed were mostly colonoscopy

(59.26%) and computed tomography imaging (37.04%). In a subset of patients, emergent surgery was indicated, and the diagnosis was confirmed via laparotomy. Splenic flexure and transverse colon (51.85%) were the most frequent sites involved. Other commonly involved sites were the distal colon (33.34%) and ascending colon (29.63%). This is due to splenic flexure's susceptibility for ischemia due to a meager number of collateral vessels, making it a watershed area. The most common vasoconstrictors were cocaine (37.04%) and triptans (37.04%). Other vasoconstrictors included in our dataset (in descending order) were: ergotamine (14.81%), vasopressin and its analogs (7.41%), and phenylpropanolamine (3.70%). The most common method of cocaine use was smoking (60%). Other methods were inhalation (20%), intravenous (10%), and oral use (10%). Among prescription vasoconstrictors, migraine was the most common indication for the use.

Limitation

The limitation of our study is that it is not powered enough to detect a difference in mortality, as mentioned earlier if the difference truly exists. Adequate sample-sized well-designed observational studies are required in the future on this topic.

5. Conclusion

Our article's message is that in those patients with acute abdominal pain where a diagnosis of colon ischemia is being entertained, care should be taken not to miss out on the potential role of vasoconstrictors, including cocaine. Significant differences were found between cocaine and non-cocaine vasoconstrictor-induced large bowel NOMI regarding surgery and length of hospital stay (7 days vs 4 days), but the difference in mortality and hospital score did not reach statistical significance. Further studies are needed to compare outcomes between the 2 groups.

References

- Brandt LJ, Feuerstadt P, Longstreth GF, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Patterns of Presentation, Diagnosis, and Management of Colon Ischemia (CI). American Journal of Gastroenterology 110 (2015): 18-44.
- Longstreth GF, Yao JF. Epidemiology, Clinical Features, High-Risk Factors, and Outcome of Acute Large Bowel Ischemia. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology 7 (2009): 1075-1080.e2.
- Yadav S, Dave M, Edakkanambeth Varayil J, et al. A Population-based Study of Incidence, Risk Factors, Clinical Spectrum, and Outcomes of Ischemic Colitis. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology 13 (2015): 731-738.e6.
- Mitsuyoshi A, Obama K, Shinkura N, et al. Survival in Nonocclusive Mesenteric Ischemia: Early Diagnosis by Multidetector Row Computed Tomography and Early Treatment With Continuous Intravenous High-dose Prostaglandin E1. Annals of Surgery 246 (2007): 229-235.
- Elramah M, Einstein M, Mori N, et al. High mortality of cocaine-related ischemic colitis: a hybrid cohort/case-control study. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 75 (2012): 1226-1232.
- Stillman AE, Weinberg M, Mast WC, et al. Ischemic Bowel Disease Attributable to Ergot. Gastroenterology 72 (1977): 1336-1337.
- Lambert M. Reversible Ischemic Colitis After Intravenous Vasopressin Therapy. JAMA 247 (1982): 666.
- 8. Johnson DA, Stafford PW, Volpe RJ. Ischemic bowel infarction and phenylpropranolamine use. West J Med 142 (1985): 399-400.

- Fishel R, Hamamoto G, Barbul A, et al. Cocaine colitis. Is this a new syndrome? Dis Colon Rectum. 28 (1985): 264-266.
- Nalbandian H, Sheth N, Dietrich R, et al. Intestinal ischemia caused by cocaine ingestion: report of two cases. Surgeryn 97 (3): 374-376.
- Schmitt W, Wagner-Thiessen E, Lux G. Ischaemic colitis in a patient treated with glypressin for bleeding oesophageal varices. Hepatogastroenterology 34 (1987): 134-136.
- Rogers DA, Mansberger JA. Gastrointestinal vascular ischemia caused by ergotamine. South Med J 82 (1989): 1058-1059.
- Yang RD, Han MW, McCarthy JH. Ischemic colitis in a crack abuser. Digest Dis Sci 36 (1991): 238-240.
- Endress C, Gray DG, Wollschlaeger G. Bowel ischemia and perforation after cocaine use. American Journal of Roentgenology 159 (1992): 73-75.
- 15. Rutgeerts L, Ghillebert G, Drognee W, et al. Ischemic Colitis in a Patient with Crohn's Disease Taking an Oral Contraceptive and an Ergotamine Alkaloid. Acta Clinica Belgica 48 (1993): 48-51.
- Brown DN, Rosenholtz MJ, Marshall JB. Ischemic colitis related to cocaine abuse. Am J Gastroenterol 89 (1994): 1558-1561.
- 17. Knudsen JF, Friedman B, Chen M, et al. Ischemic colitis and sumatriptan use. Arch Intern Med 158 (1998): 1946-1948.
- Sanjuanbenito Dehesa A, Fernandez Cebrián JM. Ischaemic colitis induced by cocaine abuse. Br J Surg 82 (1995): 138.
- Payne B, Sasse B, Franzen D, et al. Manifold manifestations of ergotism. Schweiz Med Wochenschr 130 (2000): 1152-1156.

- Linder JD, Mönkemüller KE, Raijman I, et al. Cocaine-associated ischemic colitis. South Med J 93 (2000): 909-913.
- Naik M, Potluri R, Almasri E, Arnold GL. Sumatriptan-associated ischemic colitis. Dig Dis Sci 47 (2002): 2015-2016.
- Schwartz DC, Smith DJ. Colonic ischemia associated with naratriptan use. J Clin Gastroenterol 38 (2004): 790-792.
- Moawad FJ, Goldkind L. An unusual case of colonic ischemia. South Med J 102 (2009): 405-407.
- 24. Hodge JA, Hodge KD. Ischemic colitis related to sumatriptan overuse. J Am Board Fam Med 23 (2010): 124-127.
- Westgeest HM, Akol H, Schreuder TCMA.
 Pure naratriptan-induced ischemic colitis: a case report. Turk J Gastroenterol 21 (2010): 42-44.
- Nguyen TQ, Lewis JH. Sumatriptan-associated ischemic colitis: case report and review of the literature and FAERS. Drug Saf 37 (2014): 109-121.
- 27. Akbar A, Nissan G, Chaudhry P, et al. Isolated naratriptan-associated ischemic colitis. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent) 29 (2016): 410-411.
- 28. Higgins PDR, Davis KJ, Laine L. The epidemiology of ischaemic colitis: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW: THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF ISCHAEMIC COLITIS. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics 19 (2004): 729-738.
- Tseng J, Loper B, Jain M, et al. Predictive factors of mortality after colectomy in ischemic colitis: an ACS-NSQIP database study. Trauma Surg Acute Care Open 2 (2017): e000126.
- 30. O'Neill S, Yalamarthi S. Systematic review of the management of ischaemic colitis:

- Management of ischaemic colitis. Colorectal Disease 14 (2012): e751-763.
- 31. Texter EC, Chou CC, Merrill SL, Laureta HC, Frohlich ED. Direct Effects of Vasoactive Agents on Segmental Resistance of the Mesenteric and Portal Circulation. Studies with 1-Epinephrine, Levarterenol, Angiotensin, Vasopressin, Acetylcholine, Methacholine, Histamine, and Serotonin. J Lab Clin Med 64 (1964): 624-633.
- 32. Banes AK, Watts SW. Enhanced contraction to 5-hydroxytryptamine is not due to "unmasking" of 5-hydroxytryptamine (1b) receptors in the mesenteric artery of the deoxycorticosterone acetate-salt rat. Hypertension 38 (2001): 891-895.

- Washington C, Carmichael J. Management of Ischemic Colitis. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery. 25 (2012): 228-235.
- 34. van Walraven C, Dhalla IA, Bell C, et al. Derivation and validation of an index to predict early death or unplanned readmission after discharge from hospital to the community. Canadian Medical Association Journal 182 (2010): 551-557.
- 35. Donzé JD, Williams MV, Robinson EJ, et al. International Validity of the HOSPITAL Score to Predict 30-Day Potentially Avoidable Hospital Readmissions. JAMA Intern Med 176 (2016): 496-502.



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license 4.0